

Application No. 10/768,038  
Responsive to Office Action dated December 28, 2005

Attorney Docket No. FS-F03226-01

### Remarks

In the Office action, the only rejections of claims 1-10 were based on 35 USC 112, second paragraph. The purpose of the present amendment is to amend those claims to overcome the Section 112 rejections, and to cancel all other claims that were rejected based on 35 USC 103(a). Because the amendments are limited to canceling claims and adopting the recommendations of the Examiner regarding the Section 112 rejections, Applicants believe that entry of the present amendment is appropriate and an RCE should not be necessary.

By the present Amendment, claims 1, 5, and 7-10 have been amended, and claims 2, 4, 6, and 11-20 have been cancelled. Upon entry of the present Amendment, claims 1, 3, 5, and 7-10 will be pending in the application.

#### **Paragraph 4: Rejection of claims 1, 3-20 under 35 U.S.C.112**


Claims 1, 3-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. The Examiner's helpful suggestions, which have been fully incorporated into the presently amended claims, are greatly appreciated. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

#### **Paragraph 6: Rejection of claims 11-20 under 35U.S.C.103(a)**

By the present amendment, claims 11-20 have been cancelled. Therefore, this rejection is now moot.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Sheldon J. Moss  
Registration No. 52,053

TAIYO, NAKAJIMA & KATO  
401 Holland Lane #407  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Telephone: (703) 838-8013  
Date: March 24, 2006